WR-C

The Stanford Daily 18 Oct. 1966

LIEBELER DEFENDS WARREN REPORT

Against 'Rush to Judgment' Critic

audience about the alleged doctored picture of Lee Harvey Oswald on the cover of Life magazine, Liebeler said that photographic experts do not support the theory that the head was superimposed on the body. In addition, they can prove that the picture came from Oswald's camera. Liebeler said he could not explain the difference in the shadows from the nose and body.

Another question raised by Lane was the front of the Texas Book Depository moments after the assassination, showing a figure who resembled Ruby. This figure was chopped out of the picture printed in the report. Liebeler speculated that all pictures in that series of slides had been cropped in duplication processes to better show the center of the picture. In a later talk to a law class, he added that he didn't believe the figure was Ruby anyway.

Another question of fact was whether the bullet which passed through Kennedy and Governor Connally could survive with just 3 grains missing. Liebeler said investigation shows this to be possible.

Timing And Motive

The timing of the shots and Oswald's escape to the movie house, both questioned by Lane, were plausible, according to investigations carried out by the Warren Commission.

As for motive, Liebeler said that no psychiatric testimony was admitted because it would be so conflicting. He believes it would be impossible to tell Oswald's motive even if he were alive now and were to undergo psychiatric examination.

The confusion over the point of entrance and exit of the bullet, the discovery of the bullet on a stretcher in the hospital, and the identification of the rifle were attributed by Liebeler to the fact that in the rush of initial questioning, unqualified people were called upon to give reports. The later, more official reports clear up the conflicts, he stated.